

## **Non-arthroplasty Hip Register**

**Date:** 16/09/2015

**Present:** Professor Max Fehily, Mr Marcus Banks, Mr John Timperley.

**By telephone:** Matthew Wilson and Mr Tony Andrade.

**Apologies:** Mr Tim Board and Mr Paul Gaston.

### **A number of items discussed during the meeting:**


1. TA discussed funding that has been sourced through industry. Essentially he has been in discussion with Stryker, Smith and Nephew, Mitek and Conmed. Stryker and Smith & Nephew, provisionally agreed to donate £5,000.00 each, per year for three years and then to be re-assessed after that. He is expecting further feedback from other companies and having discussions at ISHA next week.
2. Both JT and MB discussed the pressure from the British Hip Society Executive, regarding the development of the NAHR and that was discussed.
3. There was a general discussion about how to increase surgeon input. Prior to this meeting TB agreed to approach Mr Peter Kay as part of his role in NHS England, with a view to agitating them to make data input mandatory and linking it to tariff payments. This will be followed up with TB.
4. MB discussed on-going meeting with Amplitude. In particular it was discussed how the data was retrieved and presented in a useful format. That still needs to be developed and improved to make it useable for contributing surgeons. The ideal is to produce a feedback report, potentially on an annual basis, as this would give real time feedback, as well as encourage further participation. Amplitude have agreed that their current reporting tool, is not optimal and needs further development.
5. JT suggested publically naming contributing surgeons, as he felt that this would increase pressure on non-contributors and illustrate the legitimacy of the register.
6. MF discussed engaging the private hospital groups. As part of this JT and MB will create a letter, explaining the background of the NAHR and NICE approval etc. MF will then forward this onto the Medical Director of Spire, with a view to him encouraging all hip arthroscopies, within the Spire group being submitted. That then can be further developed, with Ramsey, BMI and HCA.

Cont'd

7. TA suggested using his contacts through Circle to further encourage management approval within that group.
  
8. MF discussed interacting with Pro-One. Feedback from them has informed us that data is currently not being transferred across to the register, but a trial was carried out today and so any remaining data should be transferred over the next short period. MF will follow that up and try and get more information, as to how many surgeons are in-putting through Pro-One and what the numbers of arthroscopies are.
  
9. Final discussion was about how the money from industry is to be used. Final action from this will be JT and MB formulating a list of action plans, which will be sent out to the executive committee for their suggestions and from those responses a finally action plan will be written, with individual job/responsibilities to committee members to drive this forward.

Next meeting to be arranged via Skype with a definitive meeting at the British Hip Society in Norwich in March.

Yours sincerely

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Max Fehily', written on a light-colored background.

Professor Max Fehily

Hon. Professor University of Salford

Consultant Orthopaedic Surgeon