

## NAHR Working Group Meeting Minutes

Date of meeting : 12<sup>th</sup> September 2014

Venue : 2014 BOA Meeting Brighton

Present:        Marcus Bankes  
                  John Timperley  
                  Tony Andrade  
                  Matt Wilson  
                  Lauren Kerr (Bluespier)

Apologies:    Tim Board  
                  Max Fehily

- JT informed the group that he had been made Chair of quality outcome measures group within the BOA to act as an umbrella for registries.
- A session is being held at BOA to look at governance structures or different registries with a view to developing some commonality and to develop a healthy relationship with PHIN who have the mandate to publish outcome data by 2017.
- The group discussed ongoing concerns that some non-arthroplasty surgeons have about data entry and there was a consensus that the new platform and BOA involvement would help this.
- MB went through the new MDS 1.0
  - it was agreed that only the worst acetabular defect – site and severity - would be recorded
  - on the femoral side modified Outerbridge is recorded 1-4
- TA stated that ISHA was exploring registry options on a large scale.
- LK presented the test portal for the new NAHR website
  - It was agreed this was a significant improvement and would help with data entry
  - It was suggested that Bluespier should be present at the BHS to offer start-up help for newly submitting surgeons

- LK demonstrated the reports provided by the National Ligament registry
  - All agreed that similar reports, personal and steering group, would be useful
  - It was agreed that steering group reports should be anonymised nationally
  - Surgeons should have a fully-customisable report system that only they can access.
  - LK suggested running a dual user interface to allow the user group to use it in the real scenario
- MB, TA and MW discussed the generic 'appraisal' reports to be emailed out yearly. A consensus was reached and MB will email the group.
- There was a lot of discussion about what to do with data once a patient transferred care or received a second opinion
  - It was agreed that the treatments given to that patient but not the details of the surgery would be visible to the new surgeon
  - It was agreed that each patient should only be allowed one entry on the registry to avoid parallel streams of data.
  - No agreement was reached with what to do with data in the event of a surgeon's retirement or death. **This requires further discussion**
- MW raised the point of arthroscopic surgery in THR
  - It was agreed that cases with arthroplasty in place should not be entered onto the database. This should be made clear in the surgeon notes documentation
- LK will work on the report building functions and arrange a meeting, in Reading, to review this – possibly end of year
- Plan for soft launch January 2015 with formal launch at BHS meeting in London in March 2015
- Date of next meeting - TBC